

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/668,999	,999 09/24/2003		Wei-Chun Yang	2846-0270P	1820	
2292	7590	03/29/2005		EXAMINER		
		T KOLASCH &	EASTHOM, KARL D			
PO BOX 7- FALLS CH		VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ŕ			2832	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			H'A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
0.65	10/668,999	YANG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Karl D. Easthom	2832	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of this d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rly (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this community BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u>	<u>March 2005</u> .		
· · =	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	•	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-15 is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ier.	•	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	,		• •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	a Office Action of form PTO-1	52 .
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A onty documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stag	ge
Attachment(s)	, A [] (1.1	Summan (DTO 442)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/24/2003. 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152))

Application/Control Number: 10/668,999

Art Unit: 2832

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-8 in the reply filed on 3/7/2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there should be no undue burden. This is not found persuasive because extra inventions in the same application are a burden since

Page 2

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Examiner's are allotted time for only one independent and distinct invention.

- 2. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: The term "preprag" does not appear to be a term of art, so that what is meant is not clear. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term PTFR lacks antecedent basis so it is not clear what is being claimed.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-2 and 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnson. Johnson discloses the claimed invention at Fig. 5, with core layer 22, conductive pads 24, 26, and via holes with thick film polymer resistors 14. For claim 2, it is not clear what a preprag is but is assumed here to be something prefabricated, prior to forming the resistors. In claim 5, the pads are metal. For claim 6, the diameter and length are varied at col. 3, lines 55-68 so that the

Art Unit: 2832

amount of fill varies. For claims 7-8, the via holes are filled, thus any function or property since reduced inductance is met inherently due to the filling as claimed. In claim 4, no solder ball is affirmatively claimed since a conductive pad meets the claim as the alternative.

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2-3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson in view of Burgess. Johnson discloses the claimed invention except the prepreg and copper layers. Burgess discloses copper layers with prepeg at par. 84 used for multilayer interconnect systems having vias such as that of Johnson so that such a system would have been obvious.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl D. Easthom whose telephone number is (571) 272-1989. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 5:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2832

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karl D Easthom Primary Examiner Art Unit 2832

KDE